UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 23-09299-SPG-RAO Date February 7, 2024

Title Clara Gonzales v. Sanofi S.A. et al

Present: The Honorable

SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Patricia Gomez

Not Reported

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:

Not Present

Not Present

Not Present

Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before February 21, 2024,** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on the following Defendant(s):

- Sanofi US Services Inc.
- Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 23-09299-SPG-RAO	Date	February 7, 2024
Title	Clara Gonzales v. Sanofi S.A. et al		

Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

		_ :	
Initials of Preparer	pg		